COVID-19: Pandemic Contingency Planning for the Allergy and Immunology Clinic
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Pandemic Contingency Planning
Learning Objectives

- Discuss the etiology, clinical presentation, and mitigation of COVID-19
- Describe a framework for allergy/immunology clinic contingency planning for reduction of services during a pandemic national emergency
- Compare and contrast advantages of virtual care with face-to-face visits

An Unprecedented Moment In our Careers and Lifetimes

- COVID-19 is caused by SARS-CoV-2
- First reported in Wuhan in December 2019
- Appears to have originated in chrysanthemum bats with recombination in the pangolin (a commonly trafficked endangered scaly anteater)
Biology of SARS-CoV-2

- SARS-CoV-2 uses a densely glycosylated spike (S) protein to enter host cells and bind to the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor expressed on type II alveolar cells.
- Incubation typically ranges 1 to 14 days (median 5-6 days), but may be as long as 24 days.
- Symptoms of infection: Fever, Cough, SOB, Fatigue/Myalgia.

Prevention and Control
- Primarily large droplet particle spread
- CDC recommendations have evolved
- High rate of secondary infection
- Case Fatality Rate (CFR) around 2.3%
- CFR higher is the elderly, &
- Those with chronic illnesses.
Emergency Social Distancing

As COVID-19 became more pervasive, quarantine measures were instituted across the world.
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Accessed March 13, 2020
Pandemic Threat Levels Affecting Normal Allergy/Immunology Operation

Green
- No alert level, no defined risk or known cases
- Normal services can/should occur
- No service adjustments necessary

Yellow
- Emergence of contagious pandemic illness, with signs of possible community-acquired spread
- No declaration of state, local, or national emergency
- Consider potential for service disruption in selected patient risk groups, and need to adjust visit schedules and clinic/staff availability

Orange
- State, local, and/or national emergency declared in response to a contagious pandemic with confirmed community-acquired spread
- Social distancing measures recommended in the community
- Implement partial service adjustment in selected patient risk groups

Red
- State, local, and/or national emergency declared in response to a contagious pandemic with confirmed community-acquired spread, with active quarantine measures recommended for all citizens
- Imminent risk to patients and medical staff
- Social distancing measures enacted in the community, and actively recommended by health authorities
- Significant service adjustments necessary across all patients


Pandemic Continency Planning

- A consensus-based ad-hoc expert panel of allergy/immunology specialists from the US and Canada developed a service and patient prioritization schematic to temporarily triage allergy/immunology services. Recommendations and feedback were developed iteratively, using an adapted modified Delphi methodology to achieve agreement.
Acute Service Reductions

- Asthma
- Allergic Rhinitis
- Immunotherapy and Biologics
- Food Allergy, EoE, Drug Allergy
- Anaphylaxis
- Allergic Skin Disorders
- Immunodeficiency

Asthma Service Reduction Considerations

- Avoid “step down” therapy unless clearly favorable and telehealth available/adequate
- Prioritize high-risk patients
- COVID-19 screening may be indicted for certain groups
- Telehealth or postpone visits for patients with well-controlled asthma
- Suspend screening patients for clinical trials
- Consider virtual care for patients in research protocols as permitted

- Continue controller medications
- Nebulizer use discouraged during the pandemic as it is more likely to aerosolize SARS-CoV-2
Allergic Rhinitis Service Reduction Considerations

- Under “red zone” circumstances, universal service reduction could be considered baring “extenuating circumstances”
- Medical management is appropriate
- Utilize virtual care as available
- Defer / postpone evaluation of allergic sensitizations that require travel to clinic

Immunotherapy and Biologics

- AIT should not be initiated for allergic rhinitis
- Consider schedule reduction for aeroallergen AIT or suspending treatment
- Home immunotherapy could be considered for VIT (shared decision making)
- No VIT for LLR or isolated cutaneous symptoms

- Consider home health to initiate biologics
- Consider off-label home administration of omalizumab for patient who have tolerated at least 4 in-clinic doses
- For other biologics, consider home transition
Food Allergy, EoE, Drug Allergy, Anaphylaxis

• In the setting of quarantine measures, unless there is a critical acute nutritional need for a key nutrient, it is likely that all food challenges would be deferred
• OFC may be considered in high-risk sensitized infant in whom deferring challenge may lead to development of peanut allergy
• Suspend routine advice for EMS activation after EIA use unless symptoms do not immediately resolve without recurrence

• Postpone or use virtual visits for routine food allergy follow-up
• Postpone or use virtual visits for proctocolitis
• Defer food OIT initiation or updosing
• Face-to-face drug allergy evaluation is reasonable for urgent drug delabeling, challenge, or desensitization
• Also prioritize vaccine challenge in any immunocompromised patient

Service Adjustments for Allergic Skin Disorders

• Prioritize new patient evaluations (virtual or face-to-face) for suspected angioedema, particularly with pharyngeal/laryngeal, abdominal, or genital involvement
• Consider virtual care for stable HAE patients
• Postpone or consider virtual care for CSU
• Laboratory evaluation of patients with CSU with a normal H&P can be deferred

• Defer evaluation of food sensitizations in patients with atopic dermatitis without a history of acute food reaction
• Face-to-face evaluation may be necessary for severe atopic dermatitis with extensive body surface evaluation
• Initiation of biological therapy may be considered with limited face-to-face interaction or virtual care
Service Adjustments for Immunodeficiency

- Face-to-face evaluation may be needed for acutely ill patients
- Consider risk of non-COVID-19 infection (such as liver abscesses, osteomyelitis, meningitis, bacteremia, PJP, etc) in acutely ill patients
- New cases of SCID or other T-cell deficiencies should continue to be seen
- Autoimmune phenomena need prompt treatment
- Consider virtual care for initial evaluation of abnormal newborn screens

- Consider transitioning immunoglobulin replacement to home for those patients receiving replacement in an infusion center
- Drug monitoring is necessary for various immunosuppressive agents
- Patients with malignancy should continue chemotherapy
- Consider virtual care routine follow-up, some labs, imaging, and PFTs may be deferred

Virtual Care

Telehealth is central to pandemic triage

- Can limit provider exposure to infection
- Can reduce patient exposure to infection
- Can provide rapid evaluation of potential COVID-19 while limiting exposure
Telehealth

- Be aware of potential pitfalls such as patients with unstable asthma or poor perception of dyspnea
- Both the AAAAI and ACAAI have online telehealth toolkits as useful resources
- Established patients do not require a physical exam unless medically necessary
- To reduce exposure, patients can be seen from home

- The COVID-19 pandemic will likely accelerate adoption of telehealth within the field of A/I and across medical specialties
- US legislative and executive actions in response to the national emergency is expected to allow practice across state lines by relaxing the originating site requirement

Shared Decision Making and Communication

SDM is a patient-centered process in which the clinician and patient exchange information to make medical decisions based on best evidence and patient values/preferences

COVID-19 presents unique challenges to SDM due to a greater balance of societal interests
Practice Implications

COVID-19 reduction in services include

- Imposed or voluntary physician self-quarantine
- Practice restrictions after actual COVID-19 infection
- Financial reduction due to decline in consultation, follow-up assessments, immunotherapy visits, and reduction in testing
- Resultant reduction in staff

Change and Grief

Conclusions

• The COVID-19 pandemic is a global emergency and requires new strategies to design effective paradigms of care
• The current situation is fluid and changes have been occurring rapidly
• The framework provided should be viewed as conditional and needs to be implemented in the perspective of “on the ground” circumstances
• Telemedicine will be a key tool to provide safe and effective care

“We are some of the most highly trained and adept medical specialists in the world. We can and will persevere through any challenge that the specialty faces”
Thank you!
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